PHYSICAL REVIE%' 8 VOLUME 37, NUMBER 3 15 JANUARY 1988-II

Stability and electronic structure of ultrathin [001](GaAs) (AlAs) superlattices

D. M. Wood, S.-H. %ei, and Alex Zunger
Solar Energy Research Institute, Golden, Colorado 80401
(Received 3 June 1987; revised manuscript received 16 November 1987)

The general issues of stability towards disproportionation or disordering of (AC) (BC) super-
lattices are addressed by simple model calculations based on detailed first-principles results for the (GaAs), (AlAs), [001]-orientation alternate-monolayer superlattice. Valence-force-Seld (VFF) cal-culations permit isolation of strain-related contributions to the superlattice formation energy and a simple electrostatic energy model highlights the importance of charge transfer in stabihzing or destabilizing such ordered phases. We predict that bulk {GaAs)&(A1As)& is in fact unstable with respect to disproportionation into zinc-blende constituents because of insu5cient Ga-Al charge
transfer. Epitaxial growth on GaAs or AlAs simply makes this structure less unstable. A simple
semiquantitative model for [001] (AC},(BC), extracted from detailed self-consistent calculations makes clear the competition between (destabilizing) strain effects and {potentially stabilizing) charge transfer effects. %e extract trends for thicker superlattices with the aid of VFF calcula-tions and generalizations of the electrostatic model. %e find that unstable thin superlattices be-come (per bond) less unstable as the repeat period increases, while stable ones become less stable per bond. Kinetic factors or surface effects must be invoked to explain the spontaneous oc-currence of (GaAs) (AlAs) structures. The electronic structure of (GaAs)l(AlAs)l is analyzed in
detail and interpreted in terms of simple distortions and band folding of the virtual-crystal-
approximation band structure.

I. INTRQDUCTION

Recent perfection' of atomic-scale control over nu-cleation and growth using modern crystal-growth tech-niques such as molecular-beam and liquid-phase epitaxy and

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN... 1343

al) entropy between the ordered phase and the binary
constituents, awhile the disordered alloy has a free energy
of formation

bG (x, T)=AH (x)—TM (x), (4)
where ES (x}includes electronic and vibrational as well
as con6gurational' contributions. In what follows we will examine the stability of perfectly ordered superlat-tices, using

D. M. %'OOD, S.-H. %EI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

Al

ever, of distortions of bond angles from the ideal
tetrahedral value 109.5. Conversely, one could achieve
ideal bond angles at the expense of bond-length distor-
tions. Unlike for zine-blende or CuPt binary structures,
it is impossible' in the lattice-mismatched (AC),(BC), superlattice of Fig. 1(a) to simultaneously preserve "ideal" d ~c and d~& bond lengths and perfect tetrahedral bond angles. The equilibrium

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN... 1345

TABLE I. Nonrelativistic (NR) and semirelativistic tSR)
all-electron atomic orbital eigenvalues s„c~(eU) and orbital ra-

dii (r„,},and (r„r} (a.u.) for Ga, Al, and As using the local-
density approach with Ceperley-Alder (Ref. 43) exchange-
correlation potentials.

—8.93 —9.17

Ga
2.37
2.33

—2.77 —2.74

3.37
3.37

—7.81 —7.84

Al
2.55
2.53

—2.80 —2.79

3.44
3.44

As
1.97
1.93

—5.38 —5.35

2.55
2.55

the local-density-functional formalism and the Ceperley-
Alder exchange-correlation functional as parametrized

by Perdew and Zunger. The proximity (-15 eV) of
the Ga 4s

as

the

the of

and Ga,

the

p71 T

dii

Al, Ga,

the for eV)

semirelativistic ra-cor33 Td (as)Tj ET BT /Xi3 761.795169.93 214ansultmetrized

The

using

ofrbital

of Ga

As

with

cor1 Td (Ga,)Tj ET BT 3Xi3 8.790155.76976 678533 Td (as)Tj ET 73./Xi3 8.T /Xi5.3T541 141Td32 Td (ra-)Tj ET

.

for the ra-p41 Td (As)Tj ET 8T52Xi3 .64 854367729 678w9 Td (the)Tj ET BT8/Xi3 38.514367834 224hav7 Td (the)Tj ET

aswith byof

of

using

80

asfor the

As and

Ga,

80

asfor Ga,

the

of Ga,

with the asuing for densityea8 Td (for)Tj ET BT./Xi3 8564.5138149.49 56stabil (p.75 Td (.)Tj ET BT /Xi3 9.2Tf3708.43 214hH7

of for The ra-

locapigenvalues 2 Td (ra-)Tj ET 9641Xi3 8.57 T3485 Tf 110all-lativistic Zunger. Al, of

the Ga,Zunger. atomic

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN... 1347

TABLE III.

D. M. %'OOD, S.-H. %'EI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

dered superlattice, increasing its entropy bS

. Each
effect reduces the entropy change AS

—AS upon dis-
ordering, reducing the calculated Q.
We conclude from the pseudopotential calculations described above that the energetic hierarchy for the (GaAs),

STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN... 1349

Energies

We have calculated the terms of Eq. (15) as follows:
bE„&, bECE, and EEs were each obtained directly from the defining equations [Eqs. (11)-(13)] using

D. M. %'OOD, S.-H. %EI, AND ALEX ZUNGER

0.8
I
I
I
I

I
I

I

g Q4-
Cl

QQ Ga

Q

O

CL

C3 -04-

I
I

I

I

I

I
I
I
I
I
I
I

-0. I 8

"..—."&pvo
- —- - — kp go +hpCE

I
I
I
I
I
I

E

I

+hp =kp

\

AI

I

I
I

i

I

I

I
4

I
I

I
t
I
I
t

Normalized Oiatance

FIG. 4. Net charge density redistribution (with respect to equilibrium binary compounds) along bonds after volume de-formation (VD, dotted line), charge exchange (VD + CE, dashed line), and net (VD + CE+- S, solid line).

Batra et al., which found an opposite charge transfer, with Pickett et al. using empirical pseudopotentials [who

I

STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN...

26-
)

(a),:

20-

Cl 1
N

16- (
0

C I

la 12.

Q. 6

RL
non-Zs '

p1.0
0.8

0.6

" 02
N

a /

-OA

-0.6

-0.8

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN.

.

. 1353

terms" for the disordered phase (see Appendix B). They
erroneously predicted

a substantial stabiIization of

4EM~,~„„+4E~sover AEMd, &„„&+AEos.Using their values for GaAs/AIAs, i.e.

, Uc

=9.49 eV,
EQ /2

=0.02e, and the experimental average GaAs/AlAs bond length [but with the corrected expres-
sion, or Eq. (25)] shows the random alloy to have an
energy comparable (within

a fraction of

a meV} to that
of the ordered superlattice, including both Madelung and an-site terms. Since the formation energy

D. M. %'OOD, S.-H. %HEI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

2.4—

r,

'R4

,

X3

— -=- r)
L)

VCA

Qao qAIO SAs (GaAI)&(AIAs)&

(b) (c)

AlAs

(a)

FIG. 8. Conduction-band eigenvalues at I, L, and X points of the face-centered-cubic Brillouin zone at a =5.56 A for GaAs, A1As, 6ctitious Ga05Alo 5As VCA alloy, and unrelaxed {GaAs)&(A1As),.

effects: (a} deformation potential-induced shifts in the constituents as GaAs (AlAs) is dilated

STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN...

'.'
.";.:-;:.-0.24-.-': -.";.

'

.';-0.15 -;:::;:-.
-0.03--

not p character, and exhibits the usual antibonding s character; its splitting samples only the difFerence in Ga and Al I =0 pseudopotentials. The next-highest energy VCA state L, &, has both cation s and p character. In-terestingly, the superlattice compatibility relations re-quire this state to split into an Rl, state [consisting of Ga s and p, orbitals and Al (p„+p~) orbitals] and a
complementary R4, state. This is evident in Figs.

10(g)—10(i); the splitting ~ e(R~)—e(R „)~

is the largest
of all conduction bands sho~n because it samples the difFerence in both the s- and p-wave pseudopotentials for Ga and Al. One result of this ampli6cation of cation difference is that (GaAs)I(AIAS), is indirect by way of the

R (L„)point (whereas the VCA alloy is indirect via X„).Finally, the VCA X&, state, with strong cation s character, is split [Figs. 10(j)-10(1)]into states M„and M2, with cation-centered lobes.
The preceding discussion shows that the states of or-dered GaAJAsz difFer from those of the disordered Gao&A105As alloy. This can be quantized by de6ning "optical bowing parameters" for the ordered (ord) phase
GaAlAs2 as

-0.7S
-0.5

.

:; -0.25

b„d(I„)=4[a(I„)—e(I"„(I'I,))],

b,„d(X„) =4[a(X1,)—S(MS,(XI,))],

b„d(L„)=4[K(L„)—

„3P5t/Xi13 9350.01

D. M. %POOD, S.-H. WEI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

{GaAa)& (AIAI)& {

D. M. %OOD, S.-H. %'EI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

m —1

STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN...

GaSb), and ordered (III-V), „(IV&)„alloys (although judged by their equilibrium phase

1360 D. M. WOOD, S.-H. WEI, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

quite poor, particularly for small clusters. The smallest
cluster size that is reasonably configuration independent
has not yet been determined.

" %e note that the ex-
tremely close agreement between the effective Madelung
constant for

a disordered alloy obtained via

a

superposition-of-clusters approach and their truncation of the Madelung energy [Eqs. (83) and (84)] shows that this speculation is incorrect.
Analogous superposition procedures may be carried out for on-site Coulomb contributions for the disordered alloy (and give results identical to those of van Schilf-gaarde

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN... 1361

Lett. 58, 49 (1987).
L. G. Ferreira, A. A. Mbaye, and

D. M. %'OOD, S.-H. %%I, AND ALEX ZUNGER 37

baschewski and C. 8. Alcock, Meta//urgica/ Thermochemis-try, 5th ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1979), pp. 268 and 286]. The elemental cohesive energies are as follows: Al, 78.1; Ga, 64.8; and As, 68.

2 kcal/mole, from L. Brewer, Lawrence
Berkeley Laboratory Report No. 3720 (1975), quoted by C. Kittel, in Introduction to Solid State Physics, 6th ed. (%'iley, New York, 1986). This gives E,(AlAs)

=
+ 29.

3

+ 78.

37 STABILITY AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF ULTRATHIN.

.

. 1363

M. C. Tamargo, D. M. Hwang, and C. C. Chang, J. Lumin.
(to be published).

9~C. G. Van de %alle and R. M. Martin, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 8 3, 1256 (1985).
92D. Bylander and L. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2091 (1987).
9 A. Ishibashi, M. Itabashi, S. Kawado, N. W'atanabe, Y. Mori, and K. Kaneko, Phys. Rev.

8 33, 2887 (1986).
9"Recent calculations by Bylander and Kleinman (Ref. 88) indi-cate that this scaling